

**\* communism is treason! \* fight it with common sense!**



**The Nation's Anti-Communist Newspaper**

# Common Sense

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

**SUBSCRIPTION RATES:**  
(24 issues annually)  
Plain envelope, unsealed... \$1.  
Plain envelope, sealed... \$3.  
Foreign & Canada, (10 mos) \$1.

**"The Truth, the whole Truth,  
and nothing but the Truth"**

*Without fear or favor.*  
**Clare W. Kelley**  
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

ISSUE No. 258 (11th Year)

Union, New Jersey, U. S. A., August 15, 1956

Five Cents

## Senator Johnson's Victory In Texas- A Major Tragedy In U.S. History

By Robert H. Williams (Reprinted from Williams Intelligence Summary, P. O. Box 868, Santa Ana, California)

When Senator Lyndon Johnson's forces beat those of Governor Allan Shivers in the precinct, county and state Democratic conventions in Texas, the victors crowed in the usual fashion of political winners.

There were no doubt a lot of good people in the Johnson crowd, but for the most part they were people bent only on winning an election and keeping their own crowd in power.

The fact is, these unthinking, perhaps well meaning people struck their country one of the most costly blows of recent years. I am sure if the rank and file who followed the Johnson lead should suddenly look at the two-thousand-year-old struggle of the Western man to establish and maintain human freedom, if they could look back down the telescope of time and suddenly see what they had done—they would be appalled.

For they probably killed the one great upsurge of indignation of recent years, which gave promise of overthrowing the alien Socialist New Deal forces which had captured control of both major political parties.

The Texas convention victory for Johnson may well have killed the movement for a new conservative party, a movement which had become so strong (especially after Eisenhower and Earl Warren engineered the de-segregation tyranny over the 48 states) that it was about to force the nomination of a conservative candidate on one or both the old line major parties, or launch a new party.

### ADING REVOLUTION

To understand the historic crisis it is necessary to understand that our country and the whole world are caught in the holocaust of the greatest of all the Jewish-inspired revolutions. Crazed by the old Testament and Talmudic "master race" incitation, the Jewish rabbis, and in recent times political and financial leaders, spawned a movement called Communism. Their prophets inspired the French revolution (which so misled and misguided Christians and gentiles that they guillotined the best of their neighbors and leaders in the streets of Paris.) One of their later prophets, Karl Marx, put their revolutionary front movement on the road with his Communist Manifesto.

Another of their leaders (associated with Marx, but somewhat anti-dating him), the Jewish "German" poet Heinrich Heine, leader of the Communist youth of the 1830s, and 1840s, foretold that Communism would capture mighty Russia and the whole world would then become "one flock" under "one shepherd—one free shepherd with iron staff, and a shorn-alike, bleating-alike human herd!—The future smells of Russian leather, blood, godlessness and many whippings. I should advise our grandchildren to be born with very thick skins on their backs."

The Jewish revolutionaries, gifted, crafty men dedicated to the age-old goal of exterminating Christianity and subjugating all nations, and willing to use their fabulous wealth to promote this end—were so sure of success, in view of their many successful revolutions of past ages that they would dare to predict, and could in fact predict, the



ROBERT H. WILLIAMS

Communist revolution in Russia eighty years in advance.

After their descendants imposed the Communist power on the shorn-alike, bleating-alike Russians, many of the Jewish organizers began slipping out of Russia into the United States. Their forerunners already were here, including the late Jacob Schiff, head of the foremost Jewish banking house in America, Kuhn, Loeb & Co., and his associates, one of whom was Herbert H. Lehman (now a Senator, elected with the open support of the Communist Party, as you doubtless noticed in the newspapers).

Our immigration gates stood wide open. The revolutionaries poured in by the thousands. We were naive and trusting. Our newspapers received and published stories from Europe that the Jews were persecuted; so we accepted them with open arms. Not realizing that the European news wire services were Jewish founded and owned, and many of the correspondents were Marxist indoctrinated Jewish revolutionaries.

While the kindly, blindly tolerant Americans still slept, the alien invaders set up a great revolutionary regime called the New Deal, surrounding and advising President Roosevelt, who apparently did not even catch an inkling of how he was being used till a few weeks before his death.

### JOHNSON TALKS LIKE COMMUNIST

The Jewish Reds, now with wealth far greater than ever before in their history, established several mighty organizations and a movement which today they call Zionism. While the Communists make their principle vehicle of destruction of Western civilization and Western nations, the device of forcing race warfare and the inter-breeding and certain destruction of the White resistance—White solidarity and its Christian religion—the Zionists promote the same Marxist destruction of all but their own race. The Zionist so incite their own people and so quicken their Jewish nationalism and make

them believe they are persecuted and threatened by those who fight Communism and Zionist subversion, that they keep their fellow Jews from inter-breeding, they keep them from falling for their own brotherhood, race-mixing propaganda.

The New Deal was first an early, then an advanced stage, of Communist-Zionist Marxism. It was the device for throwing the reins of government and eventually all the property in America into a central government in Washington, which the Jewish missionaries of the movement naturally expected to dominate.

The great obstacle in their way was the Anglo-Saxon conscious South, with its high percentage of good blood and its fighting courage.

After the New Deal got Eisenhower into the White House, Ike appointed their man Earl Warren, whose closest political advisers were his wife (who came to America from Europe, an adult, thoroughly indoctrinated with European Socialism), and Jesse Steinhardt, San Francisco attorney, and a national commissioner of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith.

### JOHNSON MEETS WITH RED B'NAI B'RITH

And of course Warren led the already packed New Deal Supreme Court to destroy racial segregation, greatly advancing the Communist-Zionist objective and furthering the destruction of states rights and the further centralization of power in Washington.

The conservative citizens, North and South, Republican and Democrat, got so mad at long last that they were rebelling. We had a good chance to force the nomination of a President who would re-establish the doctrine of states rights, and perhaps bring the subversive Anti-Defamation League to justice and send its leaders to prison for plotting revolution and for protecting the Communist spies and other agents.

But Lyndon Johnson was hell bent on being the big shot. And what he knows about the history of this growing world

—(Continued on page four)—

Led the already packed New Deal Supreme Court to destroy racial segregation.

Officials in Wash. D. C. are sending their children across the line into Virginia and Maryland, to private schools (all white) since the Supreme Court ruled on segregation.

It's fine for your boy and girl to mix with the negro, but our Washington officials want their children protected in private schools.



EARL WARREN



# PLUNDER IN THE HOLY LAND

[ ] By Henry Cattán

"We lost everything," say Palestine refugees—"and time will not bring acceptance of Israel's gun-point thievery."

The problem presented by the seizure by Israel of the properties of Arab refugees is intimately connected with the problem of Palestine as a whole. It cannot, therefore, be well visualized without a brief reference to the historical and political background of the Palestine problem.

At the end of the first World War, the number of Jews in Palestine did not exceed 50,000 as compared with over 650,000 Arabs. The Arabs had inhabited Palestine for more than thirteen centuries. Great Britain, appointed in 1922 by the League of Nations as Mandatory Government over Palestine, then began to facilitate the immigration of Jews into that country.

Such immigration took place against the wishes of the Arabs, the lawful and original inhabitants of the land, with the result that in 1927 the number of Jewish inhabitants, swelled by the waves of immigrants, reached 750,000. The Arab population, on the other hand, by natural increase over a period of thirty years, had almost doubled in number.

In 1947, as a result of political maneuvers and machinations too long to detail here, the United Nations recommended the partition of Palestine among its original inhabitants and the new immigrants, allocating to the Jews on arbitrary grounds areas amounting to 40% of the general area of Palestine, notwithstanding the fact that the Jews then owned less than 7% of the total area of the country.

The figures as to the respective ownership of land by Arabs and Jews in Palestine is well established by Land Registry records and official statistics published by the Palestine Government before the end of the mandate. According to official records, the Jews owned in the whole of Palestine in 1948, one million and a half dunums (4.05 dunums equal 1 acre) of land out of a total area of 25 million dunums. The proportion of Jewish-owned property is therefore, less than 7% of the area of Palestine.

The Arabs, on the other hand, who owned and possessed 93% of the area of Palestine were allotted the remaining regions mostly arid—amounting to 60% of the area of the country.

On May, 15, 1948, the British Mandate over Palestine ended in conditions of chaos and confusion. The Arabs opposed the partition of their country and the creation of an Israel State carved from their ancestral land. A conflict ensued. In this conflict, however, the arms of the Arabs were only right and justice; the arms of the Israelis were bombs, machine guns, and terrorism. If comparisons of the degrees of savagery may be made, the Deir Yasin massacre of Arab men, women and children far exceeded, in horror and brutality, the outrages at Lidice and Ouradour during the last World War. Israeli terrorism succeeded. Some 900,000 Arabs had to abandon their homes, their lands, and now live as refugees in camps or caves.

The Israeli success over the unorganized Arab inhabitants of Palestine, achieved by a horrible terrorism, was condemned by the late Count Bernadotte, U. N. Mediator (himself assassinated by Israelis in Jerusalem in Sept. 1948). It is now heartlessly described by the Israelis as "winning the war over the Arabs!"

The Arab withdrawal enabled the Israelis to seize 70% of the Palestine area—that is, almost double the area allotted to them by the U. N. We now have, therefore, this unnatural and illogical situation of the Israelis owning less than 7% of Palestine, but occupying and claiming retention of 70% of the total area. They now occupy whole Arab cities, tens of thousands of Arab homes and thousands of Arab villages with their lands and groves.

The majority of the Israeli population today lives in Arab houses. Over 90% of the Israel cultivation live on Arab-owned land. Arab citrus and olive groves which were taken over by the Israeli government, account for the largest percentage of the government's income from foreign exports.

Let us overlook for a minute the basic injustice arising from the U. N. decision on partition. Let us focus our attention on the areas, cities and villages allocated to the Arabs by the decision of the United Nations, but seized and occupied by the Israelis in 1948 beyond the limits and boundaries assigned to them. Here, we observe two basic facts of major importance. These two facts are not sufficiently known or appreciated. If they were, they would guide those concerned towards redress of a gross injustice and a substantial solution of the tragic plight of Arab refugees.

The first fact that must be considered is the ownership of such areas occupied by Israel beyond the limits and boundaries assigned to them. The following table gives this information:

**Western Galilee, Arabs 87 percent, Jews 3 percent, Others 10 percent; Jerusalem Area, Arabs 99 percent, Jews 1 percent; Ramleh and Lydda Areas, Arabs 77 percent, Jews 14 percent, Others 9 percent; Hebron Area, Arabs 96 percent, Jews 1 percent, Others 3 percent.**

The figures just quoted are taken from Appendix 5 to the Report of the Second Committee on Palestine, submitted to the General Assembly in 1947 (Document A/AC-14-32 of 11 Nov. 1947) and are based on official figures and records of ownership of land.

The second fact concerns the number of Arabs dispossessed and displaced by the Jewish occupation of those areas. The number of people who have become refugees as a result of the seizure by the Jews of Western Galilee, the Jerusalem area, the Ramleh, Lydda and Hebron areas, including the cities of Jaffa and Acre, exceeds half a million people.

It can, therefore, be easily seen that if the United Nations instituted at least one evacuation by Israel of the areas that it seized beyond the boundaries assigned to it

by the United Nations, more than half of the present number of Arab refugees would be able to return to their lands and their homes.

It is distressing that neither the U.N. nor the Great Powers—whose interests are related to stability in the Middle East—have made it their policy that Israel should evacuate the area it has seized in Palestine, in violation of the resolutions of the U. N. It is a recognized principle of international law developed after World War I, not to recognize title by conquest. It has even been specifically stated in 1948, by the Chief Delegate of the United States to the U. N., that his country will not recognize the title of Israel to lands occupied by it beyond the area allotted by the United Nations.

The value of principles, however, depend upon their enforcement. The principle of non-recognition of conquest or seizure was enforced in Korea and other places—but it has remained a dead letter in Palestine.

The value of Arab properties now seized by the Israelis is tremendous. Although no proper estimate has ever been made of such properties yet, the figure runs between 15 and 16 billion dollars.

In 1950 the U. N. Conciliation Commission for Palestine set up a Committee of experts to evaluate Arab properties in Israel. This Committee, disregarding market prices and established values, proceeded to evaluate Arab agricultural lands and urban properties on the basis of their notional tax value as it stood in the tax books as of the year 1947. Instead of attempting to ascertain what the value was, it attempted to lay down what the value should be, naturally, in favor of Israel.

By this arbitrary method, the Committee arrived at the ridiculous figure of 100 million sterling, a sum which represents about two and one half percent of the real market value of Arab properties in Israel. The figure suggested by this Committee is equivalent to confiscation rather than compensation.

When one recalls that the Jews had before the end of the Mandate, spent several times that amount in order to acquire less than 7% of the land of Palestine, one clearly realizes that the figure suggested is quite unreasonable as being the value of the remainder of the area, with the addition of a few Arab cities like Jerusalem, Jaffa, Haifa, Lydda, Ramleh, and Safad, and a few thousand villages and thousands of orange, olive and fruit groves. It goes without saying that this incongruous evaluation was rejected by the Arabs.

At present, many persons, unaware or unconscious of the magnitude of Arab assets in Palestine, talk of compensation. Even if the principle of compensation were to be acceptable to Arab refugees—a fact which Arabs do not concede, because the Arabs prefer to recover their homes and lands rather than be compensated—therefore the compensation if it is to be adequate and commensurate with the value of such properties is clearly beyond the financial



American money and American Jewish power is responsible for the desperation of thousands of Arabs and Christians in the desert today.



# Patriot's Answer To Smear Attempt

By Hamilton Fish

(reprinted from the BROOKLYN TABLET)

This is in answer to the veiled implications that Nazi money was given in 1940 to the National Committee to keep America Out of War. I was Chairman of the Committee, composed of 50 members of the House of Representatives and a few former members, and I welcome the opportunity to defend its actions and all of its members.

We had only one objective: to keep America out of the European conflict, representing the sentiment of 85 per cent of the American people, according to public opinion polls at that time.

We raised approximately \$4,000, sufficient for our purposes of using every legitimate means to keep us out of war, including one advertisement in the N. Y. "Times" just prior to the Republican convention in 1940.

We never received, and never were offered any Nazi money. There is not an iota of evidence of any kind that a single cent was ever given to the Committee.

I have been asked why the State Dept. released this dead cat 16 years afterwards, and whether it was routine procedure or premeditated for political purposes.

If I thought it was a political release made in desperation to head off the rising



Former Congressman Hamilton Fish

tide of Americanism, I would denounce Secretary (of State John Foster) Dulles without reservations, and the New Deal

internationalist hold-overs in the department, for another attempt to smear the 1940 Republican non-interventionists who represented 85 per cent of the people, and who in 1952 still constituted one-half of the Republican Party under the leadership of Sen. (Robert A.) Taft.

In the last year, the pendulum has swung back and I believe today that once again a large majority of the Republican Party is opposed to our huge foreign handouts, to making the NATO a world government, and are in favor of the Bricker Amendment. If Secretary Dulles wants to debate our foreign handouts and One Worldism, without dead cats, I accept the challenge. The overwhelming rejection of Senator (Alexander) Wiley by the Republican convention in Wisconsin, where the Republican Party was born; and the withdrawal of Senator (Walter) George because of opposition to his intense internationalism and support of foreign handouts, are significant political-opinion straws, indicating distaste of our extreme internationalistic policies. Public opinion is now once again in favor of placing our own American interests first.

I was proud to be associated with such

—(Continued on page four)—

## Plunder In Holy Land — Continued— means of Israel.

In any event, the question of compensation in respect of Arab properties cannot be considered in relation to Arab properties in Jerusalem (which is subject to internationalization) or to areas of Palestine occupied by Israel beyond the boundaries of the U. N. resolution on partition. Any discussion as to compensation in such areas, is an indirect attempt to attribute to Israel title to territories held by it in contravention of, and in contemptuous defiance of resolutions of this international assembly. The question of compensation should, therefore, be so circumscribed.

Moreover, compensation can only be discussed when the Arab refugees are allowed to exercise the right, recognized in principle by the U. N. but denied in practice by Israel, of returning to their homes. Only in the case of such refugees as decline to exercise the right to return would the question of compensation arise.

One solution that has never been explored would be the possibility of making exchanges between Arab properties in Israel as circumscribed by UN boundaries and Jewish properties in the Arab countries. But all this is entirely dependent and contingent upon Israel's preparedness to submit to resolutions of the U. N., of which there has been as yet no sign. Otherwise, any talk of compensation is futile and sterile.

Arab properties are at present vested in the Israeli Custodian of Absentee Properties. Absentee means Palestinian Arabs, and includes subjects or residents of Lebanon, Egypt, Syria, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, Iraq or Yemen. The Custodian leases and administers Arab properties; he may even, in certain cases, sell or transfer the right of ownership thereto. The produce of vested properties is itself vested in the Custodian. Such produce is charged with taxes, rates, administration expenses and repairs.

Such is the picture in relation to real property. The question of movables is somewhat different. It is well known that by reason of the sudden aggravation of events in 1948, most of the refugees left their homes, shops and businesses without being able to take any of their effects or belongings with them. Some 200,000 Arab homes were looted by Israel and Israelis. Some of those homes were rich, full of silver, carpets and furs; many were modest, many more were poor.

The fact, however, remains that an organized and general pillage of Arab homes occurred which left no traces of the effects and belongings of over 900,000 Arabs. The same story may be told of the contents of thousands of Arab stores, shops and offices.

Some goods were seized by the Israeli authorities but the larger part was allowed to be pillaged.

The United Nations, by its misguided decision to partition Palestine, is largely responsible for the present political mess in the Middle East and the tragic problem of refugees. What has it done to remedy the situation? True, as principal contributor to the United Nations Relief and Works Agency, it is providing Arab refugees the barest means of existence at a rate of less than \$2.00 a month per head. That, however, is equivalent to feeding a patient who is still on the operating table merely to keep him alive. The wounds are not sutured, the poison is still circulating in the body; the surgeon is distracted and talks to his assistants about other irrelevant matters, such as river diversion and water distribution which, when overheard by the patient in his moments of consciousness, merely worsen his physical and moral condition.

The General Assembly of the United Nations, on Dec. 11, 1948, passed a resolution recommending the following measures:

1. That refugees be permitted to return to their homeland.
2. That compensation be paid in respect of the properties of those that do not wish to return.
3. That compensation be paid in respect of damages and losses relating to property which is recoverable in accordance with principles of international law, equity and justice from the governments or authorities concerned.

What has happened since the date of that resolution? NOTHING! Not one refugee was permitted to return and not one piastre was paid as compensation. Israel is not disposed to permit Arab refugees to return to their homes or to pay them compensation.

The United Nations also passed another resolution. It recommended in 1949 in its Paris session that the Conciliation Commission should take all conservatory measures for the protection and preservation of the rights, interests and properties of Arab refugees. What has been done in this respect? NOTHING!

Israel has, however, been doing a lot. It has destroyed some two hundred villages (including their churches and mosques) and wiped them completely from the surface of the earth (see the names of those villages listed in a Christian-Arab church magazine Al-Rabita, Nov. 1953, published in Israel by the Catholic Melkite Patriarchate). It has uprooted thousands of acres of Arab orange groves.

It is actively and gradually confiscating Arab properties. This is how it is done. In March 1953, Israel passed the Land Acquisi-

tion Law. Under this law, power is given to expropriate Arab properties and register them in the name of the Israeli authorities. A nominal amount as compensation is evaluated in favor of the owner and then such amount is vested in the Custodian. This method of acquisition of the properties of Arab refugees is made to look, in appearance, like a legal expropriation, but in fact and in substance, it is a blatant confiscation. Arab properties are thus gradually passed into Israeli ownership without the UN lifting a finger or raising its voice.

The Arabs do not recognize Israel. Nor do they recognize anything done by it, whether it take the form of expropriation or confiscation. Israel is literally settled in another man's home; it has bolted the door and fixed guns at all windows fearing the return of the owner and his family. This large scale robbery of the homeland of a million Arab refugees will never be accepted. Such an injustice cannot go unrequited.

The treatment of the Palestine question by the United Nations has shown basic ignorance of the facts and complete indifference to consequences. The partition resolution was itself the most serious blunder. It has created the present explosive instability in the Middle East. And all subsequent resolutions of this international assembly have evidenced a total non-comprehension of the facts and premises of the Palestine problem and the principles to be followed in its solution.

The attitude of Arab refugees is principally and basically an ardent desire and inflexible determination to return to their homes and their lands. This desire is natural. It does no good to compare them with other refugee groups. The tragedy that has befallen the Arab refugees has no parallel in modern history. The Germans and Italians lost the war, but they still live in their homes and have their country. The Arab refugees have not fought or lost any war, but have lost their homes and their country. It is, therefore, obvious that the solution of the Palestine problem cannot be financial, but must be territorial. Compensation for properties can only be incidental to a solution, not the solution.

The question of Arab properties is one that carries serious consequences for the social, political and economic situation of the Middle East. It is, however, one face only of the problem. It cannot be solved without, or separated from, the main political problem of Palestine, in fact, it is an inseparable part of it. Such problem remains the most explosive in the Middle East today. It will remain so until it receives a solution based on elementary principles of justice and equity.



## IN U.S.

—(Continued from page one)—

revolution and its threat to degrade and humiliate and enslave our children as it enslaved the Russian people, is probably not much; and from what I know of Lyndon Johnson, he would not give much thought to the matter even if he understood it. He is too deeply involved in scheming and conniving for personal political advantage.

Even so, it was a little surprising to me that he would be so openly bold as to go immediately after his victory in the precinct conventions, before the mighty political power, the B'nai B'rith, in Washington, D. C., and report to his masters. Here is a quotation from an item on page 1, of the Sweetwater, Texas, Daily Reporter, May 9, 1956—a dispatch from Washington:

"The red carpet for the returning conqueror was rolled out here last night for Sen. Lyndon Johnson—The Senate majority leader told the crowd that the issue in his victory over Gov. Allan Shivers in Democratic precinct and county conventions—was a question whether extremists and forces of reaction or those of moderation would prevail.

"Later at a B'nai B'rith dinner Johnson praised the organization's Anti-Defamation League and said, 'I want to call your attention that the people of Texas last Saturday overwhelmingly rejected the politicians who stooped to bigotry.' Johnson added that Texas voters had 'rejected efforts to inject race hatred into the campaign'."

Read that statement again, fellow American. It might well have come directly out of the Communist Daily Worker or the Anti-Defamation League Bulletin. It is the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, (of



which Senator Lehman is a vice president) which, with the American Jewish Committee (of which Lehman is a principal policy maker) steers and incites the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People. I have recently published quotations from the American Jewish Committee Budget Report, 1953, in which this revolutionary anti-Christian machine told how it shaped and helped finance the program of the NAACP.

Lehman is also one of the founders and principal policy makers of the Americans

amounting to many millions of dollars, spent for British propaganda in the United States in World War II.

How much of these huge sums came from Lend-Lease money or actually from our own taxpayers, to involve us in a war against the wishes of the taxpayers and of most of the American people?

I am proud of the fact that up to Pearl Harbor I did everything in my power to keep us out of war. I voted for war after Pearl Harbor, but what a tragedy it turned out to be!

We had a million casualties, spent billions to destroy Germany and Japan—the only powerful barriers to the spread of world Communism. Hitler was replaced by Stalin, and 70,000,000 Germans by 700,000,000 Communists.

Now we are trying to placate West Germany, Japan and Spain, and make military allies of them.

We have spent over fifty billion dollars since the war, in foreign handouts, and the more we spend, the more we are hated, because Britain, France and Italy fear we are trying to drag them into World War III.

The truth is that the result of the second world war, which we entered to save the liberty of Poland and the smaller nations, was that we threw them to the dogs and Communism, which has taken over half of the world. What a tragedy and travesty it has all been! The American people are just beginning to realize the ghastly blunders of our war-making internationalism. We are now confronted by world Communism, a frightful, evil, dangerous and powerful force which is determined, by fair or foul means, to communize the entire world.

In my opinion, the members of the National (Congressional) Committee to Keep America Out of War should be awarded medals for meritorious service, for their efforts to keep out of war instead of being subjected to snide smear attacks 16 years later.

If it had not been for the activities of this entire anti-war committee, President Roosevelt would have involved us in war six months or a year before Pearl Harbor, and then Germany would never have attacked Russia on June 21, 1941. In all probability, we would have been fighting Germany and Soviet Russia, and might have lost the war, or it might have been a deadlock, with

for Democratic Action, one of the major forces advancing the Marxist, or Communist-Zionist, revolution destroying our country. It was this man Lehman and his B'nai B'rith crowd that Lyndon Johnson reported on his victory in Texas!

The Congressional Record, Oct. 20, 1951, states over and over that it is the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith which continues to block investigations of Communists. Entered into the records by Congressman Clare Hoffman, the Congressional Record says that the Anti-Defamation League (along with the Communist Party) was one of the principal agents tricking and smearing Martin Dies while he was trying to expose Communist enemies of our country.

Also, the Congressional Record of June 8, 1950, says "B'nai B'rith has been infiltrated by Communist agents. They are in control of its committee, the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, which does the dirty work for the Communists."

It was this Communist-protecting, Communist-dominated Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith which Lyndon Johnson praised when he went before the B'nai B'rith Jewish revolutionaries to crow with them over their victory in Texas.

God pity our children unless our people wake up to the nature of the New Deal-Internationalist revolution and learn which of our leaders to follow.

It was the scheming, crippled, mentally warped Thad Stevens who principally blocked the efforts of intelligent men to solve the Negro problem after the Civil War. It was Thad Stevens who, more than any other Congressman, imposed the Carpet Baggers and the Fourteenth Amendment on the South. Lyndon Johnson is the Thad Stevens of our time.

millions of American soldiers sacrificed in vain.

I am reminded of other unfair and untrue smear attacks made on me. I was accused of renting my house in New York to Otto Von Keip, the German Consul General, and raising the rent twice. The fact is that it was my father's house, and the rent was reduced twice, and some years later, Otto Von Keip led the conspiracy on Hitler's life and was tortured to death. He was never a Nazi.

No one has ever questioned my integrity or Americanism, except possibly some fanatical Communists.

However, I am not interested in making any defense of myself except to keep the record clear, that I and my non-interventionist colleagues fought a valiant fight to keep America out of war in 1940 and 1941, and only lost when we were maneuvered into war through the back door, by President Roosevelt issuing a secret war ultimatum to Japan ten days before Pearl Harbor to get out of China and Manchuria. Secretary of War Stimson called it a war ultimatum in his memoirs.

The repudiation of Sen. Wiley and the withdrawal of Sen. George will have a discouraging and terrifying effect on the internationalists and one-worlders. The time has come for a complete revision of our foreign policies, no matter how agonizing it may be to some mis-guided internationalists and one-worlders.

Magna est veritas et praevalerebit—The truth is mighty and will prevail.

## Common Sense\*

Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.  
Conde McGinley, Editor

\$1 for 30 copies, \$3 for 100, \$10 for 500  
One year's subscription, \$1. Three years for \$2.50  
First Class (Sealed)—\$3.00 per year  
FOREIGN 10 MONTHS \$1.00

## Common Sense\*

Published twice monthly at

530 Chestnut St. Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.  
\*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office

Entered as Second Class Matter, Jan. 27, 1948, at the Post Office at Union, N. J., under the Act of March 3, 1879.

## Patriot's Answer

—(Continued from page three)—

Americans in 1940 as Senators Taft, Vandenberg, Wheeler, Reynolds, Walsh, Nye and Clark of Missouri, Clark of Idaho, Johnson of Colorado, and a host of Congressmen, including Rep. Joseph Martin, who realized that the Lend-Lease Bill was a phoney war measure—the money and arms to be followed by soldiers and war.

Today, many of the supporters of the Lend-Lease Bill admit it was a war measure. It was forced through the Congress by the most outrageous use of patronage and political influence.

I denounced the Presidential campaign of 1940 as the grossest fraud ever perpetrated on the American people. President Roosevelt proclaimed his love of peace by promising the American fathers and mothers again and again and again that their sons would never have to fight in foreign lands. And (Wendell L.) Willke afterwards called his own speeches mere campaign oratory. After F. D. R. won, he exerted his vast powers to incite us into war. I agree with Ambassador Clare Luce that President Roosevelt maneuvered and lied us into war against the wishes of the American people.

I know nothing about the dead cat release of the State Dept. except what I have read in the newspapers.

Sen. (Karl E.) Mundt, then a Representative, led 20 members of Congress to to urge an anti-war plank, and succeeded in the Republican national convention in 1940, having a very strong one written. No member of Congress was paid his traveling expenses to Philadelphia. Our committee had ample funds if anyone had required \$20 for such expenses. It is obvious to me that the Nazi telegraphic suggestion to provide \$60,000 for numerous newspaper ads was rejected, as no such ads were ever published except in the N. Y. "Times," which our committee paid for.

There would have been nothing legally wrong if our Committee had taken the money from any source, a year and a half before Pearl Harbor, but it did not. For every dollar Germany spent to keep us out of war, Britain spent millions to drag us in.

I wonder why the State Dept. release contained no reference to the vast sums,